

References

- Ackerman, P.I. (2003). Cognitive ability and non-ability trait determinants of expertise. *Educational Researcher*, 32 (8), 15-20.
- Alexander, P. A. (2003a). Can we get there from here? *Educational Researcher*, 32 (8), 3-4.
- Alexander, P. A. (2003b). The development of expertise: The journey from acclimation to proficiency. *Educational Researcher*, 32 (8), 10-14.
- Alexander, P. A., & Murphy, P. K. (1998). Profiling the difference in students' knowledge, interest, and strategic processing. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 435-447.
- Benbow, C. P., & Stanley, J.C. (1996). Inequity in equity. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 2, 249-293.
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (1999). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Brown, J.S., Collins, A., & Duguid, P. (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. *Educational Researcher*, 18 (1), 32-42.
- Carpenter, T.P., & Lehrer, R. (1999). Teaching and learning mathematics with understanding. In E. Fennema & T.A. Romberg (Eds.), *Classrooms that promise mathematical understanding*. Hillside, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Chi, M.T.H., Feltovich, P.J., & Glaser, R. (1981). Categorization and representation of physics problems by experts and novices. *Cognitive Science*, 5, 121-152.
- Cobb, P., Wood, T., & Yackel, E. (1993). Discourse, mathematical thinking, and classroom practice. *Contexts for learning: Social cultural dynamics in children's development*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (1999). Educating teachers for the next century: Rethinking practice and policy. In G.A. Griffin (Ed.), *The education of teachers: 98th yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education* (pp. 251-256). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2000). Teacher quality and student achievement: A review of state policy evidence. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 8 (1).
- Dirkes, M. A. (1985). Metacognition: Students in charge of their thinking. *Roeper Review*, 8 (2), 96-100.

- Eccles, J. S. (1994). Understanding women's educational and occupational choices. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 18, 585-610.
- Eccles J.S, Wigfield, A., & Schiefele, U. (1998). Motivation to succeed. In W. Damon & N. Eisenberg (Eds.). *Handbook of child psychology, vol. IV: Social and personality development* (pp. 1017-1095). New York: Wiley.
- Falk, B. (2000). *The heart of the matter: Using standards and assessment to learn*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Garcia, T. & Pintrich, P.R. (1994). Regulating motivation and cognition in the classroom: The role of self-schemas and self-regulatory strategies. In D. Schunk & B. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Self-regulation of learning and performance: Issues and educational application* (pp. 127-154). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Garner, R., & Alexander, P. (1989). Metacognition: Answered and unanswered questions. *Educational Psychologist*, 24, 143-158.
- Gollub, J. P., Bertenthal, M. W., Labov, J. B., & Curtis, P. C. (2002). *Learning and Understanding: Improving Advanced Study of Mathematics and Science in US High Schools*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Graham, S., & Weiner, B. (1996). Theories and principles of motivation. In D.C. Berliner & R. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 63-84). New York: MacMillan.
- Greeno, J.G. (2003). Number sense as situated knowing in a conceptual domain. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 22, 170-218.
- Halpern, D.F., & Hakel, M.D. (2003). Applying the science of learning to the university and beyond. *Change*, 35 (4), 36-41.
- Hatano, G., & Oura, Y. (2003). Reconceptualizing school learning using insight from expertise research. *Educational Researcher*, 32 (8), 26-29.
- Kobayashi, Y. (1994). Conceptual acquisition and change through social interaction. *Human Development*, 37, 233-241.
- Kozma, R. B., & Russell, J. (1997). Multimedia and understanding: Expert and novice responses to different representation of chemical phenomena. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 34 (9), 949-968.
- Kulm, G. (1990). *Assessing higher order thinking in mathematics*. Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Lave, J. (1991). Situated learning in communities of practice. In L. Resnick, J. Levine, & S. Teasley (Eds.), *Perspectives on socially shared cognition* (pp. 63-83). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Lubinski, D., & Benbow, C. P. (2000). States of excellence. *American Psychologist*, 55, 137-150.

Markus, H., & Wurf, E. (1987). The dynamic self-concept: A social psychological perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 38, 299-337.

Marsh, H.W. (1990). A multidimensional, hierarchical model of self-concept: Theoretical and empirical justification. *Educational Psychology Review*, 2, 77-172.

McLellan, H. (1996). Being digital: Implications for education. *Educational Technology*, 36 (6), 5-20.

National Council for Teachers of Mathematics (1995). *Assessment standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: Author.

National Council for Teachers of Mathematics (2000). *Principles and standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: Author.

Niyogi, N.S. (1995). *The intersection of instruction and assessment: The classroom*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.

Pellegrino, J., Chudowsky, N., and Glaser, R. (2001). *Knowing what students know: The science and design of educational assessment*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Pintrich, P. R., Marx, R. W., & Boyle, R.A. (1993). Beyond cold conceptual change: The role of motivational beliefs and classroom contextual factors in the process of conceptual change. *Review of Educational Research*, 63, 167-199.

Schoenfeld, A.H. (1983). Problem solving in the mathematics curriculum: A report, recommendation, and annotated bibliography. *Mathematical Association of America Notes*, 1.

Schoenfeld, A.H. (1987). What's all the fuss about metacognition? In A.H. Schoenfeld (Ed.), *Cognitive science and mathematics education*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Schulman, L.S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15 (2), 4-14.

Shepard, L. A. (2000). The role of assessment in a learning culture. *Educational Researcher*, 29 (7), 4-14.

Skemp, R. (1978). Relational understanding and instrumental understanding. *Arithmetic Teacher*, 26 (3), 9-15.

Skemp, R. (1979). *Intelligence, learning, and action*. New York: Wiley.

Snow, R.E. (1989). Aptitude-treatment interaction as a framework for research on individual differences in learning. In P.L. Ackerman, R.J. Sternberg, & R. Glaser (Eds.), *Learning and individual differences: Advances in theory and research*. (pp. 13-59). New York: W.H. Freeman.

Valli, L., & Croninger, R. (2002). High quality teaching of foundational skills in mathematics and reading (#0115389). Washington, DC: National Science Foundation Interdisciplinary Educational Research Initiative.

Wandersee, J.H., Mintzes, J.J., & Novak, J.D. (1994). Research on alternative conceptions in science. In D. Gabel (Ed.), *Handbook of research on science teaching and learning: A project of the National Science Teachers Association* (pp. 177-210). New York: MacMillan.

Weiner, B. (1985). An attributional theory of achievement, motivation, and emotion. *Psychological Review*, 92, 548-573.

Wiggins, G. (1998). *Educative assessment: Designing assessments to inform and improve student performance*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Wineburg, S.S. (1998). Reading Abraham Lincoln: An expert-expert study in the interpretation of historical texts. *Cognitive Science*, 22, 319-346.

Wood, T., Cobb, P., & Yackel, E. (1991). Change in teaching mathematics: A case study. *American Educational Research Journal*, 28 (3), 587-616.